1 2	Preciliano Martinez Attorney At Law, SBN 93253 1120 14 <sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 5		
3	Modesto, CA 95354 Telephone: (209) 579-2206 Email: attymartinezp@yahoo.com		
4			
5	Attorney for: Carlos Garcia-Weldon		
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
7	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
8			
9	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, CASE NO. 1:19-CR-00274-NONE-SKO		
10	Plaintiff, STIPULATION REGARDING EXCLUDABLE		
11	Tidilitili, STIL CEATION REGARDING EXCEODABLE TIME PERIODS UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT AND ORDER THEREON		
12	Carlos Garcia-Weldon,		
13	Sandra Judith Castaneda Cisneros, Edel Felix Castro,		
14	Fermin Lozano Gonzalez  Defendants		
15			
16	STIPULATION		
17	Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel of record, Melanie Alsworth, and		
18	Defendants Carlos Garcia Weldon, represented by Attorney Preciliano Martinez, Defendant Sandra		
19	Judith Castaneda Cisneros, represented by Mark Coleman, Defendant Edel Felix Castro, represented by		
20	Arturo Hernandez, Defendant Fermin Lozano Gonzalez, represented by Curtis V. Rodriguez, hereby		
21	stipulate as follows:		
22	1. By previous order, this matter was set for status on January 22, 2020.		
23	2. By this stipulation, defendants now move to continue the status conference until June 15,		
24	2020, and to exclude time between March 16, 2020 and June 15, 2020, under Local Codes T4 and M.		
25	Plaintiff does not oppose this request. The Defense continues to conduct investigation, review discovery		
26	and negotiate with the Government.		
27	3. The parties agree and stipulate, and request that the Court find the following:		

28

a)

Counsel for defendants desire additional time to continue to conduct

investigation, and to otherwise prepare for trial. Counsel for defendants believe that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny them the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. The government does not object to the continuance.

- b) Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- c) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period March 16, 2020 and, June 15, 2020, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C.§ 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code T4] for Mr. Garcia-Weldon, Mrs. Castaneda-Cisneros, Mr. Castro, Lozano-Gonzalez, because it results form a continuance granted by the Court at defendants request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendants in a speedy trial.
- 4. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial must commence.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

Dated: March 16, 2020

/s/ Melanie Alsworth

MELANIE ALSWORTH

Assistant United States Attorney

Dated: March 16, 2020

/s/ Preciliano Martinez

PRECILIANO MARTINEZ, ESQ.

Attorney for Carlos Garcia-Weldon

Dated: March 16, 2020

/s/ Mark Coleman

MARK COLEMAN, ESQ.

Attorney for Sandra Judith Castaneda Cisneros

1 2	Dated: March 16, 2020	/s/ Arturo Hernandez ARTURO HERNANDEZ, ESQ. Attorney for Edel Felix Castro
		Attorney for Edel Penx Castro
3 4	Dated: March 16, 2020	/s/ Curtis V Rodriguez CURTIS V RODRIGUEZ, ESQ.
5		Attorney for Fermin Lozano Gonzalez
6		
7		ORDER
8		
9	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
10	Dated: <b>March 16, 2020</b>	s  Sheila K. Oberto
11	Futcu. Truiten 10, 2020	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
12		
13		
14 15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		